



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJAEES_5401
Title of the Manuscript:	POVERTY AND RISK ATTITUDES: THE CASE OF CASSAVA FARMERS IN AWUTU-SENYA DISTRICT OF THE CENTRAL REGION OF GHANA
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

[\(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline\)](http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Methodological revision is essential, Not mentioned period of data, Formulate specific objectives, Sampling technique is not scientific and size of sample small	Comments well noted and addressed in the revised text - We already have specific objectives formulated - We agree that the sampling technique used is not probability but to the best of our understanding, it is still scientific as most research method materials would outlined. - It was quite difficult to get a sample frame thus it was only appropriate to use the snowball technique to establish some kind of frame within which we could sample few due to cost and time constraints at the time of conducting the research. It was possible to do valid analysis we were interested using the data we obtained
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Revise language specially -Grammar	Comment well noted and careful attention is taken to proofread and edited the write-up
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Give the scientific style of reference	well noted and have addressed that